Item No.	Classification:	Date:	Meeting Name:		
1.3	OPEN	14/4/ 2010	DULWICH COMMUNITY COUNCIL		
Report title:	Development Management planning application: Application 10-AP-0042 for: Advertisement Consent Address: 109 LORDSHIP LANE, LONDON, SE22 8HU Proposal: Display of externally illuminated fascia sign and projecting sign to front of building.				
Ward(s) or groups affected:	East Dulwich				
From:	Head of Development Management				
Application Start Date11/01/2010Application Expiry Date08/03/2010					

RECOMMENDATION

1 For consideration by Dulwich Community Council, as officers are minded to recommend the grant of advertisement consent and there have been four objections to the proposal including one from a Ward Councillor.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Site location and description

- The application site is located on the western side of Lordship Lane, close to the junction with Shawbury Road. It is a 3-storey building comprising vacant commercial space on the ground floor and flats above. It forms part of a larger 1960s block which contains commercial space on the ground floor and residential above.
- The site forms part of the urban density zone, an air quality management area, the Lordship Lane neighbourhood area and a district town centre.

Details of proposal

- 4 Advertisement consent is sought for the display of the following:
- 5 1.) An externally illuminated fascia sign which would measure 780mm wide x 520mm high and would be mounted 2.4m above ground level. It would comprise white lettering on a blue background, and the lettering to the centre of the sign would be externally illuminated by way of a trough downlighter.
- 2.) A projecting sign to the front of the building measuring 500mm high x 700mm deep x 550mm deep and mounted 2.4m above ground level. It would also comprise white lettering on a blue background and would be externally illuminated by way of two trough downlighers.

Planning history

Advertisement consent was most recently granted for the installation of an illuminated fascia sign to the premises in October 2001 (reference 0101362).

Planning history of adjoining sites

8 <u>99-105 Lordship Lane</u>

04-AP-1810 - Display of illuminated fascia sign above shop front and illuminated projecting sign. Advertisement consent was GRANTED in November 2004.

04-AP-1809 - Installation of a new shopfront and air conditioning to the rear. Planning permission was GRANTED in December 2004.

111 Lordship Lane

Advertisement consent was GRANTED for the display of an internally illuminated fascia and projecting sign in November 1995 (reference: TP/ADV/2315-91/ANH).

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

Summary of main issues

- 9 The main issues to be considered in respect of this application are:
 - a) amenity;
 - b) public safety.

Planning policy

Southwark Plan 2007 (July)

10 3.2 Protection of Amenity

3.23 - Outdoor advertisements and signage

Sustainable Design and Construction Supplementary Planning Document September 2007.

Planning Policy Guidance (PPG) and Planning Policy Statements (PPS)

11 PPG19: Outdoor Advertisement Control (March 1992)
Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisement) Regulations (2007)

Amenity

- 12 Concerns have been raised that the proposed display would be harmful to the appearance of the building, and that a proliferation of illuminated advertisement displays and unsympathetic shopfronts will be harmful to the character and appearance of Lordship Lane. Neighbouring residents are concerned that the existing signage on the adjoining buildings has detracted from the appearance of the building as a whole, and that the proposal would add to this.
- Whilst the above concerns are noted, the design of the display is not considered to be harmful to the appearance of the building. The fascia sign would simply sit on the existing fascia plinth and would be of an appropriate size and scale for the building,

and the projecting sign would be of an acceptable size. Overall the size and scale of the proposed display is considered to be acceptable and more in keeping than some of the larger displays, such as that at Haarts Estate Agent's at 103-105 Lordship Lane.

The signs would be illuminated by way of trough downlighters which are generally more discrete than internal illumination, and a condition requiring the lights to be switched off overnight is recommended. This would help to preserve the visual amenities of the area and would also ensure that there would be no light pollution to the flats above, although downlighters would generally limit this anyway.

Public safety

The signs would be located 2.4m above ground level which would be sufficient to ensure no harm to pedestrians using the pavement. The proposed illumination would be static and would not therefore distract highway users.

Other matters

16 There are no other matters arising from the proposal.

Conclusion

17 Subject to conditions, the proposal would not result in any adverse impacts upon amenity or public safety, therefore it is recommended that advertisement consent be granted.

Community impact statement

- In line with the Council's Community Impact Statement the impact of this application has been assessed as part of the application process with regard to local people in respect of their age, disability, faith/religion, gender, race and ethnicity and sexual orientation. Consultation with the community has been undertaken as part of the application process.
 - a) The impact on local people is set out above.

Consultations

19 Details of consultation and any re-consultation undertaken in respect of this application are set out in Appendix 1.

Consultation replies

20 Details of consultation responses received are set out in Appendix 2.

Summary of consultation responses

Human rights implications

- This planning application engages certain human rights under the Human Rights Act 2008 (the HRA). The HRA prohibits unlawful interference by public bodies with conventions rights. The term 'engage' simply means that human rights may be affected or relevant.
- 22 This application has the legitimate aim of providing an externally illuminated

advertisement display. The rights potentially engaged by this application, including the right to a fair trial and the right to respect for private and family life are not considered to be unlawfully interfered with by this proposal.

SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OTHER OFFICERS

Strategic Director of Communities, Law & Governance

N/A.

REASONS FOR LATENESS

N/A.

REASONS FOR URGENCY

N/A.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At Contact		
Site history file:	Regeneration and	Planning enquiries telephone:	
TP/ADV/2315-91	Neighbourhoods	020 7525 5403	
	Department	Planning enquiries email:	
Application file: 10-AP-0042	160 Tooley Street	planning.enquiries@southwark.gov	
	London	<u>.uk</u>	
Southwark Local Development	SE1 2TZ	Case officer telephone::	
Framework and Development		020 7525 5410	
Plan Documents		Council website:	
		www.southwark.gov.uk	

APPENDICES

No.	Title		
Appendix 1	Consultation undertaken		
Appendix 2	Consultation responses received		

AUDIT TRAIL	AUDIT TRAIL						
Lead Officer							
Report Author	Victoria Lewis						
Version							
Dated							
Key Decision							
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / EXECUTIVE MEMBER							
Officer Title		Comments Sought	Comments included				
Strategic Director of Communities, Law & Governance		No	No				
Strategic Director of Regeneration and Neighbourhoods		No	No				
Strategic Director of Environment and Housing		No	No				
Date final report sent to Constitutional / Community Council / Scrutiny Team							

Consultation undertaken

Site notice date: 19/01/2010

Press notice date: N/A.

Case officer site visit date: 19/01/2010

Neighbour consultation letters sent: 20/01/2010

Internal services consulted: N/A.

Statutory and non-statutory organisations consulted:

N/A.

Neighbours and local groups consulted:

Notification letters have been sent to properties in Shawbury Court and Lordship Lane.

Re-consultation:

N/A.

Consultation responses received

Internal services

N/A.

Statutory and non-statutory organisations

N/A.

Neighbours and local groups

- 24 Three representations have been received objecting to the proposal on the following grounds:
 - 1. The display would greatly damage the 1960s heritage of the building;
 - 2. An accumulation of brightly lit, projecting 'commercial' shopfronts will destroy the unique character of East Dulwich;
 - 3. The existing signage on the building has defaced the view of the building and to add to it would result in a more chaotic appearance;
 - 4. The display would be obtrusive and would distort the charter of the flats on the upper floors of the building.
- 25 Councillor Barber (East Dulwich ward) has offered the following comments:
 - 1. Very concerned about further illumination on Lordship Lane;
 - 2. If the advertisement display is not timer controlled and super energy efficient it should be referred to Dulwich Community Council for a decision.

APPENDIX 3

Insert title